The writing is on the wall yet again. Flashing in red neon lights and doubled in determination, by those whose finger is pressing on an imaginary trigger. And although they do not gaze directly but only mumble – “We did not mean it,” “These are only weeds” – their words are like three deadly bullets.

The lesson was never learned and remorse was never uttered, only the objective changed and today it is not the prime minister, but rather the Supreme Court and its justices. That demonstrates that the partition to right and left is relevant way beyond the struggle on the future of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. We are entangled deeply in a war on the character of the State of Israel and its regime. Lately, it seems as tough the attacks by MKs and ministers from the Jewish Home party, the Likud party and the Israel Beytenu party are breaking records.

Time and again they throw a vast scope of accusations against the Supreme Court that may prepare the grounds for the public to support bills that would hurt the Supreme Court’s stand and authorities, while planting individual motivation to violently act against justices.

“The justices are disconnected from the Israeli public,” announced MK Miri Regev (Likud) last year, after the High Court of Justice ruled out one of the more severe versions of the Infiltration Law. Another party member, MK Ze’ev Elkin, was sterner when he claimed that “the High Court of Justice prefers the favor of illegal infiltrators over the safety of hundred-thousands of law-abiding citizens in Israel,” and MK Yariv Levin (Likud) determined the Supreme Court’s justices have given “legitimacy to terror.”

Not only disconnected, but mean, immoral, elitist and managed in darkness. “And the place of judgment...wickedness was there,” tweeted Yinon Magal (while still an MK for the Jewish Home), following a High Court of Justice’s decision to evacuate the Dreinoff project in Beit El, and his fellow party member Moti Yogev in his own tweet: “It is time to put the judicial authority in its place and show it who is the ruling authority.” In another opportunity Yogev called the Supreme Court justices “junta,” and talked about the “ivory tower of dictatorship that have created for themselves.” And that is without mentioning the Facebook post that led to the increase of security around Justice Uzi Fogelman, in which Yogev wrote that Justice Fogelman “put himself alongside the enemy” (after he had ordered a Supreme Court decree to temporarily halt demolition of terrorists’ home).

MK Yaron Mazuz (Likud) branded justices as living in elite neighborhoods, and MK Yariv Levin criticized the HCJ for “invading the areas of the Knesset and the government and adopts post-Zionist stances more than once.” He also complained that its justices are “appointed surreptitiously, without public debate and while perpetuating the rule of a marginal minority on the entire judicial system.”

Now the road is paved for any unfounded blame. “The HCJ has trampled the legislative authority,” MK Ayelet Shaked has said after the Infiltrator Law was struck down a year ago. And Moti Yogev: “The HCJ’s intervention is a severe blow to the principle of the separation of powers in democracy and in Israel.”

The Supreme Court is presented as hurting security, and even more than that. “It is a shame that the court is encouraging the Arab enemy and the terror machine it is operating against us,” said Jewish Home MK Bezalel Smotrich following the decision to demolish a synagogue in Givat Ze’ev. Miri Regev is already preparing the indictment: “If the HCJ does not let us strengthen deterrence and severely punish the murderers, it will be held accountable for the continuation of the terror wave,” she said following the ruling that temporarily halted house demolition.

Elected officials know exactly why this is happening: the Supreme Court is anti-Jewish, anti-Zionist, supporting terror and hurting State security – just because it is “leftist.” Yariv Levin said that the HCJ has a “strong grip of the radical left – not just left – that is treating the court like it has a state and not the other way around.” Smotrich has said that “the Supreme Court is knowingly and willingly being used as a political attack tool in the hands of radical left-wing organizations,” and MK Oren Hazan (Likud) claimed that the HCJ is managing the state, and even predicted that this will bring “vast hunger strikes and terror in the streets.”

What is the solution? “It is time we bring the Supreme Court to its natural place and position,” Smotrich suggests-threatens. His party leader, Naftali Bennett, is offering a more restrained version of the offer: “If the excessive intervention continues, we will work to curb this activism. A government needs to govern and judges need to judge. When a single justice or legal advisor penetrates the field of sovereignty, it is a blow to the state.”

Levin, too, offers “to act to change the way justices are elected to ensure that the arrangement of the HCJ is balanced and pluralistic.” And he specifies: “it is time to change the method justices are elected from the grounds, so that on the judgment throne sit people who are dedicated to the State of Israel and the principle of Zionism.”

It will be sad if one day these remarks will have to be discussed in a committee of inquiry, but it would be even sadder if elected officials are able to turn Israel into a state where these kinds of remarks are not questioned at all.